

MULTIPLIERS ON SOME TOPOLOGICAL LINEAR SPACES

在某些拓撲線性空間上之乘子

連 明 嬌

Prepared by Lien Ming-Chiao

Directed by Prof. Hang-Ching Lai

Institute of Mathematics, National Tsing Hua University

1971

1. Introduction and preliminaries

This paper contains three sections. Section 2 is the main part of this paper, in which we prove a theorem related to isomorphism problem; that is: Let G_1 and G_2 be locally compact groups with left Haar measures, T be an one to one, bipositive linear transformation from $L^p(G_1)$ onto $L^p(G_2)$, $1 < p < \infty$, and $T(f*g) = Tf*Tg$ whenever $f*g \in L^p(G_1)$, $T^{-1}(f*g) = T^{-1}f*T^{-1}g$ whenever $f*g \in L^p(G_2)$. Then G_1 and G_2 are topologically isomorphic. In this section, we set out some notations and definitions which remain standard throughout this paper.

1.2 L^p Spaces ($1 \leq p \leq \infty$)

Let G be a locally compact group with left Haar measure dx . If G is compact, dx is assumed to be normalized so that $\int_G dx = 1$. If

G is locally compact Abelian, we denote \hat{G} the dual group of G .

Let $L^p(G)$, $1 \leq p < \infty$, be the Banach space of all p -th power absolute integrable functions with respect to dx , the norm of $L^p(G)$ is given by

$$\|f\|_{L^p} = \|f\|_p = \left[\int_G |f(x)|^p dx \right]^{\frac{1}{p}},$$

and $L^\infty(G)$ denotes the Banach space of all essential bounded functions and normed by

$$\|f\|_{L^\infty} = \|f\|_\infty = \text{loc. ess. sup. } |f(x)|.$$

Denote by $C_0 = C_0(G)$ the space of all continuous functions which vanish at infinity and by $C_c = C_c(G)$ the space of all continuous functions with compact supports. The topology of C_0 is the topology of uniform convergence defined by restricting to C_0 with the norm $\|\cdot\|_\infty$. The topology of C_c is the topology obtained by regarding C_c as the internal inductive limit of its subspace

$$C_{c,k} = \left\{ f \in C_c ; \text{supp } f \subset K \right\},$$

where K ranges over all the compact subsets of G and each of $C_{c,k}$ being regarded as a Banach space with the supremum norm.

We define the left and right translations by

$$\tau_a f(x) = f(a^{-1}x),$$

$$\rho_a f(x) = f(xa^{-1}).$$

1.3 Convolutions

Let $M = M(G)$ denote the space of all complex regular Borel measures on G with the weak topology $\sigma(M, C_c)$, and $M_{bd} = M_{bd}(G)$ be

the subspace of M formed of those measures such that

$$\|\mu\| = |\mu|(G) < \infty.$$

For the space M_{bd} , together with this norm, is the dual of C_0 . M_L^p [resp. M_R^p] denotes the measures $\mu \in M$ such that $\|\mu * f\|_p \leq \text{const.} \|f\|_p$ [resp. $\|f * \mu\|_p \leq \text{const.} \|f\|_p$], for all $f \in C_c$. If $\lambda, \mu \in M(G)$ and for any Borel subset E of G , we define

$$\lambda * \mu(E) \equiv \int_G \mu(s^{-1}E) d\lambda(s)$$

Convolution of function f and measure μ by

$$f * \mu(x) \equiv \int_G \Delta(y) f(xy^{-1}) d\mu(y)$$

and

$$\mu * f(x) \equiv \int_G f(y^{-1}x) d\mu(y),$$

where $\Delta(y)$ denote the modular function.

If f, g are functions, we define

$$f * g(x) = \int_G g(y^{-1}x) f(y) dy.$$

clearly,

$$\tau_a(f * g) = \tau_a f * g,$$

$$\rho_a(f * g) = f * \rho_a g.$$

1.4 Positive mappings

A mapping T from a function space into a function space is called positive, if $TF \geq 0$ almost everywhere whenever $f \geq 0$ almost

everywhere. If T is an one - one onto mapping, T and T^{-1} are positive, we call T bipositive.

1.5 Multipliers

Let G be a locally compact group, X, Y be topological linear spaces of functions defined on G , then a continuous linear transformation T from X to Y is called a left (right) multiplier for the pair (X, Y) whenever $T \tau_s = \tau_s T$ ($T \rho_s = \rho_s T$) for each $s \in G$. If T is both a left and a right multiplier, then we call simply T a multiplier.

We denote the set of all left (right) multipliers for $(L^p(G), L^p(G))$ by $M_\ell(L^p)$ [resp. $M_R(L^p)$] and the set of all multipliers for $(L^p(G), L^q(G))$ by $M(L^p)$, $1 \leq p \leq \infty$.

Denote by $M_\ell(L^p, L^q)$ [resp. $M_R(L^p, L^q)$] the set of all left (right) multipliers for (L^p, L^q) , $M(L^p, L^q)$ the set of all multipliers for (L^p, L^q) , $1 \leq p, q \leq \infty$.

2. Isomorphism theorems relate to multipliers

2.1 Introduction

Let G_1 and G_2 be locally compact (Hausdorff) groups, and $E(G_1)$ denote the function space over the group G_1 .

The isomorphism problem consists of that at what conditions on the mapping of $E(G_1)$ onto $E(G_2)$ can deduce to the isomorphic topological groups G_1 and G_2 . First kawada [5] proved that if there

exists a bipositive isomorphism of $L^1(G_1)$ onto $L^1(G_2)$, then G_1 and G_2 are topologically isomorphic.

Wendel [10] [11] proved the isomorphic groups from the hypothesis that if there is a norm nonincreasing isomorphism of $L^1(G_1)$ onto $L^1(G_2)$. Later Edwards [2] consider the situation where the groups $G_i (i=1, 2)$ are compact and there exists a bipositive isomorphism of $L^p(G_1)$ onto $L^p(G_2)$ ($1 \leq p < \infty$) and proved under these conditions, the groups are topologically isomorphic. In Edwards [2], he asked whether the compact groups G_1 and G_2 are necessarily isomorphic if the bipositive is replaced by isometry. The affirmative answer to this question was given by Strichartz [9]. Further, Parrott [7] proved the question for general locally compact groups G_1 and G_2 under the isometric transformation of $L^p(G_1)$ onto $L^p(G_2)$ ($1 \leq p < \infty, p \neq 2$) and some additional conditions which are necessary for the Lebesgue space L^p (Indeed, $L^p(G)$ needs not be an algebra if G is not compact). We ask that whether the Parrott's result holds if the isometry is replaced by bipositive. That is the same question that in Edwards [2], whether the locally compact groups G_1 and G_2 are necessarily isomorphic if we assume that there is an injective bipositive linear mapping from the Banach space $L^p(G_1)$ onto the Banach space $L^p(G_2)$. For this purpose we give the affirmative answer in this paper.

Some other isomorphic problems were given by Johnson [4], Gaudry [3] and Strichartz [8].

Johnson [4] showed on the bounded regular measure algebra under the isometric isomorphism. Gaudry [3] proved on the multiplier algebra $M(L^p)$ $1 \leq p < \infty$ under the condition of isometric isomorphism and bipositive isomorphism.

2.2 The main theorem

For convenient, we state the following

Lemma A: If T is a positive linear transformation of $L^p(G_1)$ into $L^p(G_2)$ ($1 \leq p \leq \infty$), then T is continuous.

Proof: We give the alternative proof of Brainerd and Edwards [1] as following: If T were not bounded, there would exist $\{f_n\}$ in $L^p(G_1)$ such that

$$\|f_n\|_{L^p(G_1)} \leq 1 \quad \text{and} \quad \|Tf_n\|_{L^p(G_2)} \geq n^3$$

Since T is positive, $|Tf_n| \leq T|f_n|$, and so we may assume that $f_n \geq 0$. The series $f = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{-2} Tf$ converges in $L^p(G_1)$ and $f_n < n^2 f$, we have $0 \leq Tf_n \leq n^2 Tf$ and

$$n^2 \|Tf\|_{L^p(G_2)} \geq \|Tf_n\|_{L^p(G_2)} \geq n^3$$

implies $\|Tf\|_{L^p(G_2)} \geq n$ which is a contradiction for n may be large enough.

We shall need the result of Brainerd and Edwards [1; Theorem 3.5] later, thus we state as following.

Theorem B: If T is a positive linear map of L^p into L^p ($1 \leq p \leq \infty$) which commute with ρ_a [resp. τ_a], then there exists a positive $\mu \in M_L^p$ [resp. M_R^p] such that

$$Tf = \mu * f \quad [\text{resp. } f * \mu]$$

for $f \in C_c$; if $p < \infty$, the above identity holds for $f \in L^p(G)$. And conversely.

Now we are going to give our main theorem as following.

Theorem: Let G_1 and G_2 be locally compact groups with left Haar measures, Let T be an one to one, bipositive linear transformation from $L^p(G_1)$ onto $L^p(G_2)$, where $1 < p < \infty$, and satisfying $T(f * g) = T f * T g$ whenever $f * g \in L^p(G_1)$ and $T^{-1}(f * g) = T^{-1} f * T^{-1} g$ whenever $f * g \in L^p(G_2)$. Then G_1 and G_2 are topologically isomorphic.

Proof of the theorem:

As T is an one to one linear mapping of $L^p(G_1)$ onto $L^p(G_2)$, it is immediately that $T \rho_a T^{-1}$ is a linear operator on $L^p(G_2)$ for every $a \in G_1$.

If $f, g \in L^p(G_2)$ and $f * g \in L^p(G_2)$, we have $(T \rho_a T^{-1})(f * g) = T \rho_a (T^{-1} f * T^{-1} g) = T (T^{-1} f * \rho_a T^{-1} g) = f * T \rho_a T^{-1} g$.

And for $b \in G_2$,

$$\tau_b (T \rho_a T^{-1})(f * g) = \tau_b f * T \rho_a T^{-1} g,$$

and

$$(T \rho_a T^{-1}) \tau_b (f * g) = (T \rho_a T^{-1}) (\tau_b f * g) = \tau_b f * (T \rho_a T^{-1}) g.$$

Therefore,

$$\tau_b (T \rho_a T^{-1})(f * g) = (T \rho_a T^{-1}) \tau_b (f * g)$$

whenever $f * g \in L^p(G_2)$, where $a \in G_1$, $b \in G_2$.

Since $C_c * C_c$ is norm dense in L^p , we see that $T \rho_a T^{-1}$ commutes with left translation for each $a \in G_1$.

As T and T^{-1} are positive linear transformation, we see that T and T^{-1} are bounded by Lemma A. Hence $T \rho_a T^{-1}$ is a bounded positive linear operator on $L^p(G_2)$ which commutes with $\tau_b, b \in G_2$. Then there exist positive measures $\mu, \nu \in M_R^p(G_2)$ such that

$$(T \rho_a T^{-1}) f = f * \mu \quad \text{for } f \in L^p(G_2),$$

and

$$(T \rho_{a^{-1}} T^{-1}) f = f * \nu \quad \text{for } f \in L^p(G_2).$$

(by Theorem B)

Since

$$(T \rho_{a^{-1}} T^{-1})(T \rho_a T^{-1})f = f * \mu * \nu \quad \text{for } f \in L^p(G_2),$$

and

$$(T \rho_{a^{-1}} T^{-1})(T \rho_a T^{-1})f = f = f * \delta_0 \quad \text{for } f \in L^p(G_2),$$

We have

$$\mu * \nu = \delta_0.$$

Next, we prove μ, ν are Dirac measures.

Suppose that b_1 and b_2 are two distinct points of the support of μ , c is a point of the support of ν ($b_1, b_2, c \in G_2$). Since G_2 is Hausdorff, we can choose a neighborhood U of the identity $e_2 \in G_2$ such that $b_1 \cup c \cap b_2 \cup c \cup U = \emptyset$. choose a function $\phi \in C_c(G_2)$ with $0 \leq \phi \leq 1$ such that $\phi(e_2) = 1$ and support $\subset U$ (by Urysohn lemma) Define

$$\begin{aligned}\mu_1 &= (\tau_{b_1} \phi) \mu + (\tau_{b_2} \phi) \mu, \\ v_1 &= (\tau_c \phi) v,\end{aligned}$$

then μ_1, v_1 are positive, nonzero measures, and it is obvious that $v_1 \leq v$, $\mu_1 \leq \mu$, and

$$\mu_1 * v_1 \leq \mu * v = \delta_0$$

But $\mu_1 * v_1$ is a positive measure with at least two distinct points $b_1 c, b_2 c$ in its support. We can show this by the following:

$$\begin{aligned}\mu_1 * v_1(b_1 c) &= \int G_2 v_1(y^{-1} b_1 c) d\mu_1(y) \\ &\geq v_1(b_1^{-1} b_1 c) \mu_1(b_1) \\ &\geq \mu(b_1) v(c) \\ &> 0\end{aligned}$$

Similarly, $\mu_1 * v_1(b_2 c) \geq \mu(b_2) v(c) > 0$.

Since δ_0 has only one point support, it deduces a contradiction.

Therefore μ, v are Dirac measures.

$$\text{Let } \delta_a(x) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } x = a \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases},$$

then $f * \delta_a = \rho_a f$.

Since μ depends on a , we denote the support of μ by $\wedge(a)$ and the mass of μ by $\lambda(a)$, then $\wedge(a) \in G_2$, and

$$f * \mu = f * \lambda(a) \delta_{\wedge(a)} = \lambda(a) \rho_{\wedge(a)} f.$$

Hence we obtain

$$(T \rho_a T^{-1}) f = \lambda(a) \rho_{\wedge(a)} f, \text{ for } f \in L^p(G_2).$$

Since $T \rho_a T^{-1}$ is a positive linear operator, $\lambda(a) \geq 0$ and \wedge is a mapping from G_1 to G_2 .

It remains to prove that \wedge is an algebra isomorphism and a bi-continuous mapping from G_1 onto G_2 .

It is obvious that \wedge and λ are homomorphisms. Indeed,

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda(a b) \rho_{\wedge(a b)} f &= T \rho_{a b} T^{-1} f = (T \rho_a T^{-1})(T \rho_b T^{-1}) f \\ &= \lambda(a) \rho_{\wedge(a)} \lambda(b) \rho_{\wedge(b)} f = \lambda(a) \lambda(b) \rho_{\wedge(a) \wedge(b)} f \end{aligned}$$

for every $a, b \in G_1$ and any $f \in L^p(G_2)$

If we take the norm $\|\cdot\|_p$ on both sides, we obtain

$$\lambda(ab) = \lambda(a) \lambda(b),$$

and so

$$\rho_{\wedge(ab)} = \rho_{\wedge(a)} \rho_{\wedge(b)}.$$

We want to show that \wedge is one to one and bicontinuous.

Let e_1 and e_2 be the identity of G_1 and G_2 respectively, and I_1, I_2 be the identity operators of $L^p(G_1)$ and $L^p(G_2)$ respectively.

Suppose that $\wedge(a) = e_2$, $a \in G_1$, then

$$T \rho_a T^{-1} = \lambda(a) \rho_{\wedge(a)} = \lambda(a) I_2$$

and $\rho_a = \lambda(a) I_1$, $\lambda(a) = 1$, $a = e_1$, $\lambda(e_1) = 1$.

This shows that \wedge is an injective mapping. Actually $\lambda(a) = 1$ for all $a \in G_1$. In fact, if $\lambda(a) > 1$ for some $a \in G_1$, then by the reason of homomorphism λ , we can find a sequence $\{a_n\}$ in G_1

such that $\lambda(a_n) > n$, and since

$$\|T\| \|\rho_{a_n}\| \|T^{-1}\| \geq \|T \rho_{a_n} T^{-1}\| \geq \|n \rho_{\wedge(a_n)}\| = n,$$

We get

$$\|T\| \|T^{-1}\| \geq n.$$

This is a contradiction for sufficiently large n , since T and T^{-1} are bounded linear transformation. Therefore, $\lambda(a) \leq 1$ for any $a \in G_1$. On the other hand, if $\lambda(a) < 1$, then $\lambda(a^{-1}) > 1$, for $a \in G_1$. This shows $\lambda(a) = 1$ for all $a \in G_1$.

Now we show that \wedge is bicontinuous. For convenient, we give an alternative proof for the continuity as Wendel [1].

We observe that \wedge is the product of the following mappings:

$$M_1: a \rightarrow \rho_a, \quad a \in G_1.$$

$$\begin{aligned} M_2: \rho_a &\rightarrow T \rho_a T^{-1} = \rho_{\wedge(a)}, \quad a \in G_1, \\ &= \rho_{a'}, \quad (\text{set } \wedge(a) = a', \text{ then } a' \in G_2) \end{aligned}$$

$$M_3: \rho_{a'} \rightarrow a', \quad a' \in G_2.$$

Evidently M_1 is continuous in the strong operator topology in $L^p(G_1)$ $1 < p < \infty$. We now prove that M_2 is continuous.

Since T is bounded, If $\rho_a \rightarrow \rho_b$ in the strong operator topology, then

$$\|T \rho_a T^{-1} f - T \rho_b T^{-1} f\|_p \leq \|T\| \|\rho_a T^{-1} f - \rho_b T^{-1} f\|_p \rightarrow 0,$$

for $f \in L^p(G_2)$.

Hence $T \rho_a T^{-1} \rightarrow T \rho_b T^{-1}$ in the strong operator topology, and M_2 is continuous.

Finally, we prove that M_3 is continuous. It is clear that M_3 is a homomorphism of groups of operators $\{\rho_{a'}\}$ onto G_2 . Let V' be an arbitrary neighborhood of $e_2 \in G_2$, we shall construct a strong neighborhood of I_2 whose image under M_3 is contained in V' . If we can do this, then M_3 is continuous, since M_3 is a homomorphism

Let W' be a neighborhood of e_2 having finite measure δ and satisfying $W' W'^{-1} \subseteq V'$. Let $X' \in L^p(G_2)$ be the characteristic function of W' , we shall show that if $\|\rho_{a'} X' - X'\|_p < 2^{\frac{1}{p}} \delta$, then $a' \in V'$. In fact, if $a' \notin V'$, then $W' \cap W' a' = \phi$ and $W' \cap W' a'^{-1} = \phi$, since for otherwise $W' a' \cap W' \neq \phi$ implies that there exists x such that $x \in W' a'$ and $x \in W'$, $xa'^{-1} \in W'$, and so

$$(xa'^{-1})^{-1} x \in W'^{-1} W' \subset V' \Rightarrow a' \in V',$$

this is a contradiction, similarly, $W' a'^{-1} \cap W' = \phi$.

In this case

$$\begin{aligned} \|\rho_{a'} X' - X'\|_p &= \left\{ \int_{G_2} |X'(xa'^{-1}) - X'(x)|^p dx \right\}^{\frac{1}{p}} \\ &= 2^{\frac{1}{p}} \delta, \end{aligned}$$

deduce a contradiction.

If $\|\rho_{a'} f - f\|_p < 2^{\frac{1}{p}} \delta$, $f \in L^p(G_2)$, then $\|\rho_{a'} X' - X'\|_p < 2^{\frac{1}{p}} \delta$,

where X' is constructed as above. So if $\|\rho_{a'} f - f\|_p < 2^{\frac{1}{p}} \delta$ for

every $f \in L^p(G_2)$, we get $a' \in V'$ from the above discussion.

This shows that for every neighborhood V' of e_2 in G_2 , there is a neighborhood V of I_2 in the strong operator topology such that the image of V under the mapping M_3 is contained in V' . Hence M_3 is continuous. Therefore $\wedge = M_3 M_2 M_1$ is continuous.

At last, we prove \wedge is an onto mapping. If $a' \in G_2$, evidently, $T^{-1} \rho_{a'} T$ is a positive linear operator on $L^p(G_1)$ which commutes with left translations by the same argument as done above. So there exist $a \in G_1$ such that

$$T^{-1} \rho_{a'} T = \rho_a,$$

and

$$T \rho_a T^{-1} = \rho_{a'} = \rho_{\wedge(a)}.$$

That is,

$$a' = \wedge(a).$$

This shows that \wedge is an onto mapping. Hence the inverse \wedge^{-1} of \wedge from G_2 onto G_1 exists and is continuous.

From the above argument, we prove that \wedge is an algebra isomorphism and a bicontinuous mapping from G_1 onto G_2 . Therefore, G_1 and G_2 are topologically isomorphic. Q. E. D.

3. Additional remarks

Remark 1. Whether the isomorphism problem holds for the space $L^\infty(G)$ for general locally compact group G is still open. Note that if G is compact, the problem was given by Strichartz [9] .

Remark 2. The problem for isomorphism that are neither isometric nor bipositive would appear to remain largely open.

Remark 3. Assume that G_1 and G_2 are locally compact Abelian. $M(L^p(G_i))$ and $M(L^q(G_i))$, $i = 1, 2$, have isometric and bipositive isomorphism between them, where $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$. Use this property, we can extend the result of Gaudry [3] such that if there is an isometric or bipositive isomorphism from $M(L^p(G_1))$ onto $M(L^q(G_2))$, $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$, ($p, q \neq 2$ for isometric case), then G_1 and G_2 are topologically isomorphic.

Remark 4. Assume that G_1 and G_2 are locally compact Abelian groups. $M(C_0(G_i))$ and $M(G_i)$, $i = 1, 2$, have isometric and bipositive isomorphism between them. If there exists an isometric or bipositive isomorphism from $M(C_0(G_1))$ onto $M(C_0(G_2))$, then G_1 and G_2 are topologically isomorphic.

Remark 5. Assume that G_1 and G_2 are locally compact groups. $M(L^1(G_i), L^p(G_i))$, $M(L^q(G_i), L^\infty(G_i))$ and $L^p(G_i)$, $i = 1, 2$, have isometric and bipositive isomorphism one another. We can extend the result of Parrott [7] and the theorem we just proved such that if T is an isometric [resp. bipositive] isomorphism from $M(L^1(G_1), L^p(G_1))$ onto $M(L^1(G_2), L^p(G_2))$ or from $M(L^q(G_1), L^\infty(G_1))$ onto $M(L^q(G_2), L^\infty(G_2))$, $p, q \neq 2, 1 \leq p, q < \infty$ [resp. $1 \leq p < \infty$], then G_1 and G_2 are topologically isometric.

REFERENCES

1. B. Brainerd and R. E. Edwards, Linear operators which commute* with translations, I. J. Austr. Math. Soc. VI(1966), 289-350.
2. R. E. Edwards, Bipositive and isometric isomorphism of some convolution algebras, Canad. J. Math. 17(1965), 839-846.
3. G. I. Gaudry, Isomorphisms of multiplier algebras, Canad. J. Math. 20(1968), 1165-1172.
4. B. E. Johnson, Isometric isomorphisms of measure algebras, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 15(1964), 186-189.
5. Y. Kawada, On the group ring of a topological group, Math. Japonicae 1(1948), 1-5.
6. R. Larsen, Lecture Notes in Mathematics (105), The multiplier problem, Edited by A. Dold, Heidelberg and B. Eckmann, Zurich, 1970.
7. S. K. Parrott: Isomorphism multipliers, Pacific J. Math. 25 (1968), 159-166.
8. R. Strichartz, Isometric isomorphisms of measure algebras, Pacific J. Math. 15(1965), 315-317.
9. R. Strichartz, Isomorphisms of group algebras, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 17(1966), 858-862.
10. J. G. Wendel, On isometric isomorphism of group algebra, Pacific J. Math. 1(1951), 305-311.
11. J. G. Wendel, Left centralizers and isomorphisms of group algebra, Pacific J. Math. 2(1952), 251-261.